Seyfarth Shaw LLP



601 South Figueroa Street Suite 3300 Los Angeles, California 90017-5793 T (213) 270-9600 F (213) 270-9601

> cbertoldi@seyfarth.com T (213) 270-9689

> > www.seyfarth.com

February 13, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Stephanie Barrett Senior Deputy Division of Labor Standards Enforcement Department of Industrial Relations 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor NE San Francisco, CA 94102

> Re: Paul Vauclair v. Lyft, Inc. State Case No. WC-CM-1003300

Dear Senior Deputy Barrett:

We represent Lyft in the above-referenced matter and received Paul Vauclair's notice of claim. We note that this is one of 14 cases against Lyft pending in your office over which the Labor Commissioner has no jurisdiction.

Specifically, Mr. Vauclair's alleged use of the Lyft platform as a driver (01/01/21 to 12/31/21) was after Prop 22 took effect on December 16, 2020. As of December 16, 2020, drivers using Lyft's platform are considered independent contractors by statute and, thus, cannot seek relief under the Labor Code. We kindly request for Mr. Vauclair's claims to be dismissed on this basis.

Mr. Vauclair's claims should be dismissed for the additional reason that the Labor Commissioner previously exercised her right under Labor Code 98(a) to decline to proceed with claims filed against Lyft on or after January 1, 2020. See August 5, 2020 Notice issued by the DLSE Assistant Chief, Wage Claim Adjudication Unit, which is attached to this letter as **Exhibit A**.

Please feel free to call or email me to discuss.

Very truly yours,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

Ashley N. Arnett

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS Division of Labor Standards Enforcement 1515 Clay Street, Ste. 1302 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 622-3273



August 5, 2020

Re: (1) Labor Commissioner to Sue Uber and Lyft for Labor Code Violations against All of Their California Drivers

(2) Pending Administrative Claims Have Therefore Been Dismissed

Dear Claimant:

I am writing to let you know that the California State Labor Commissioner intends to file lawsuits against Uber and Lyft under the Labor Commissioner's authority to enforce California's labor laws. By filing the lawsuits, the Labor Commissioner will be asking the court to order Uber and Lyft to stop misclassifying their drivers as independent contractors and to provide all drivers with the protections available to employees under the Labor Code.

The lawsuits will also seek recovery of unpaid wages and other amounts due to all of Uber and Lyft's California drivers. These include unpaid minimum wages for all hours worked, rest period wages, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages due for minimum wage violations, itemized wage statement violations, paid sick leave violations, penalties for failure to pay all wages due during employment and at separation of employment, and reimbursement of business expenses. The Labor Commissioner is the plaintiff in these lawsuits, proceeding under her independent legal authority to enforce these above-mentioned Labor Code protections on behalf of the State of California.

As the lawsuits eliminate the need for each claim filed by every Uber and Lyft driver to go through the administrative hearing process as provided by Labor Code section 98(a), the Labor Commissioner has exercised her right under Labor Code section 98(a) to decline to proceed with administrative complaint(s) filed with the Labor Commissioner against Uber and/or Lyft on any date after December 31, 2019. Your administrative wage complaint(s) against Uber and/or Lyft have therefore been dismissed.

Please note that the Labor Commissioner is not proceeding as a representative or attorney for you or for any other drivers in this litigation. However, any amounts recovered by the Labor Commissioner in these lawsuits for unpaid wages and other amounts due to drivers, including liquidated damages, statutory penalties, and reimbursement of business expenses will be distributed to the employees who are owed these amounts, as is the Labor Commissioner's responsibility under state law. If necessary, our office will contact you during the court proceedings. Updates about the status of the lawsuits filed by the Labor Commissioner against Uber and Lyft may be found at: https://www.dir.ca.gov/dlse/Lawsuits-Uber-Lyft.html

August 5, 2020 Page 2

Thank you for providing us with the information contained in your complaint(s) concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Þ

Carlos Torres Assistant Chief, Wage Claim Adjudication Unit

Seyfarth Shaw LLP



601 South Figueroa Street Suite 3300 Los Angeles, California 90017-5793 T (213) 270-9600 F (213) 270-9601

> cbertoldi@seyfarth.com T (213) 270-9689

> > www.seyfarth.com

February 13, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Stephanie Barrett Senior Deputy Division of Labor Standards Enforcement Department of Industrial Relations 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor NE San Francisco, CA 94102

> Re: Paul Vauclair v. Lyft, Inc. State Case No. WC-CM-1003300

Dear Senior Deputy Barrett:

We represent Lyft in the above-referenced matter and received Paul Vauclair's notice of claim. We note that this is one of 14 cases against Lyft pending in your office over which the Labor Commissioner has no jurisdiction.

Specifically, Mr. Vauclair's alleged use of the Lyft platform as a driver (01/01/21 to 12/31/21) was after Prop 22 took effect on December 16, 2020. As of December 16, 2020, drivers using Lyft's platform are considered independent contractors by statute and, thus, cannot seek relief under the Labor Code. We kindly request for Mr. Vauclair's claims to be dismissed on this basis.

Mr. Vauclair's claims should be dismissed for the additional reason that the Labor Commissioner previously exercised her right under Labor Code 98(a) to decline to proceed with claims filed against Lyft on or after January 1, 2020. See August 5, 2020 Notice issued by the DLSE Assistant Chief, Wage Claim Adjudication Unit, which is attached to this letter as **Exhibit A**.

Please feel free to call or email me to discuss.

Very truly yours,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

Ashley N. Arnett

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS Division of Labor Standards Enforcement 1515 Clay Street, Ste. 1302 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 622-3273



August 5, 2020

Re: (1) Labor Commissioner to Sue Uber and Lyft for Labor Code Violations against All of Their California Drivers

(2) Pending Administrative Claims Have Therefore Been Dismissed

Dear Claimant:

I am writing to let you know that the California State Labor Commissioner intends to file lawsuits against Uber and Lyft under the Labor Commissioner's authority to enforce California's labor laws. By filing the lawsuits, the Labor Commissioner will be asking the court to order Uber and Lyft to stop misclassifying their drivers as independent contractors and to provide all drivers with the protections available to employees under the Labor Code.

The lawsuits will also seek recovery of unpaid wages and other amounts due to all of Uber and Lyft's California drivers. These include unpaid minimum wages for all hours worked, rest period wages, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages due for minimum wage violations, itemized wage statement violations, paid sick leave violations, penalties for failure to pay all wages due during employment and at separation of employment, and reimbursement of business expenses. The Labor Commissioner is the plaintiff in these lawsuits, proceeding under her independent legal authority to enforce these above-mentioned Labor Code protections on behalf of the State of California.

As the lawsuits eliminate the need for each claim filed by every Uber and Lyft driver to go through the administrative hearing process as provided by Labor Code section 98(a), the Labor Commissioner has exercised her right under Labor Code section 98(a) to decline to proceed with administrative complaint(s) filed with the Labor Commissioner against Uber and/or Lyft on any date after December 31, 2019. Your administrative wage complaint(s) against Uber and/or Lyft have therefore been dismissed.

Please note that the Labor Commissioner is not proceeding as a representative or attorney for you or for any other drivers in this litigation. However, any amounts recovered by the Labor Commissioner in these lawsuits for unpaid wages and other amounts due to drivers, including liquidated damages, statutory penalties, and reimbursement of business expenses will be distributed to the employees who are owed these amounts, as is the Labor Commissioner's responsibility under state law. If necessary, our office will contact you during the court proceedings. Updates about the status of the lawsuits filed by the Labor Commissioner against Uber and Lyft may be found at: https://www.dir.ca.gov/dlse/Lawsuits-Uber-Lyft.html

August 5, 2020 Page 2

Thank you for providing us with the information contained in your complaint(s) concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Þ

Carlos Torres Assistant Chief, Wage Claim Adjudication Unit

Part 3: Additional Information Provided by Claimants and Deputies

15. Provide any other information related to the owner/business that may assist for this wage claim. Also, if providing additional for one of the questions above, please write the question number related to the information provided. (Attach additional pages if necessary).

On 1/11/2022, I had a scheduled meeting at the Lyft Office for Drivers in Okland, CA at 2:30pm. It was a meeting with a representative outside, as covid protocols were still in effect. The meeting lasted approximately 30 minutes, where the rep spoke to me then she would go inside to the office building and spoke to a supervisor, and then she would come back to me.

I explained that during the last quarter of 2021 I decided to finally enter my healthcare info on the Lyft Driver app. Then early January 2022 I received my Healthcare Stipend but only the Q4 portion, and that is why I scheduled the meeting to talk to them to get them to pay the remainder of the year's Healthcare Stipend (Q1 ~ Q3 of 2021). So it was a back and forth procedure. They tried to tell me that I had to plug in my Healthcare information in the app at beginning of each quarter. And because I didn't do that they didn't pay for the other quarters. I explained further that, I didn't know that I had to do that or not get paid, and not one HR person called me to explain the NEW law and procedures... The Rep understood me and agreed, however in the end when she came back the last time after seeing her supervisor, she said: "I understand you but they told me to say that THE AMOUNT \$ IS TOO HIGH AND THUS THEY CAN'T PAY ME!"

RECEIVED DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS JAN 1 2 2024 LABOR STNDS ENFORCEMENT

State Case No. WC-CM-1003300

Paul Vanclair

WAIVER TO CERTIFIED MAIL

State Case # WC-CM-1003300

Pursuant to Labor Code, Section 98, I hereby waive all future legal service by certified mail as required by Section 98(b), and will accept any notice of hearing by regular first class mail.

I further understand, that it is my full responsibility to always notify the Deputy in writing of any change of address.

1/9/2024 Dated:

Signed: Paul Vanclar

Please send a.copy to my legal representative at:

Name: Address:

RENUNCIA DE CORREO CERTIFICADO

Numero de Caso

De acuerdo a la sección 98 del Codigo de Trabajo, yo cedo todo los servicios legales en el futuro por correo certificado como se requiere en la sección 98(b). Y tambien, yo acepto la notificacion por correo regular de primera clase.

Cualquier cambio de domicilio sera mi responsabilidad de notificar al diputado por escrito.

Fecha

Firma

Por favor envie por correo una copia a mi representante legal:

Nombre: ______

Part 1

Defendant(s) Profile and Questionnaire

- Type of business employer operates Circle industry if applies: agriculture/food processing, carwash, construction, day laborer, domestic worker, food processing, gardening, garment, housekeeping (including hotel workers), janitorial, landscaping, trucking, residential care, restaurant/bar, security guards, warehouse).
 Other industry (if not listed above): <u>Transfortation</u> Rideshace.
- California requires some business to have licenses to operate (i.e. agriculture, carwash, construction, garment, janitorial, residential care). Please provide the license number (if applicable) or write "N/A" if the employer does not have a license. Defendant Industry License No.
- Please include information any corporate officers or high-level managers that may have caused labor code violations including not paying minimum and overtime wages. See Labor Code §558.1. Additional names should be included on Part III.

Relationship With Corporate Defendant	Individual Address (if known)

4. Some California laws provide customers, or businesses where you worked, can be responsible for paying your wages. Also, if you win you case, this information may help you recover your wages. Please provide the names and addresses of major customers, businesses that owe your employer money, or where you worked. This can be especially helpful in the agricultural, construction, garment, janitorial, or residential industries. If not applicable, write N/A.

Address Where You Performed Work		
hole SF Bay Area		
0		

5. Please let us know if your employer was involved in other claims for unpaid wages. If so, please provide any information you have including the case number or names of individuals making such claims. My wife, Ministry, is initiating a similar claim for Q4 2023, I don't have the state case No. yet.

Does your employer have a fixed place of business or does your employer conduct business at his/her customer/client's address?
 ✓ Fixed place of business (please provide address): <u>185 Berry 51, Swite 400, Sm Francisco, CA 94107</u>
 Work is performed at the customer's work site.

Part 2

pe	Name		Anniessienone Number	
	ople in the business		anagers, owners, principals, accountan Address/Phone Number	Physical Description
b.			wn on:	
L.				
a.			ecks? (If this changed over the last thre	
	you were paid by che			
16			s of notices you received):	
e.			ets, in receivership, or in bankruptcy pr	
d.		A	vorking at the business? Would they be	
C.	Is there a different of	or additional emp	loyer name on your check? If yes, plea	se provide the additional
b.			ew name or new owner? If yes, please	
a.	Why did the busine	ss stop operating	g (e.g., financial problems, sold to new o	owner, etc.):
ls	the business still ope	rating? vYes	No (Date business stopped:) 🛛 🛛 I don't know.

11

T

11. Name(s) and Address(es) of Employer's spouse, adult children, and close associates:

12. Valuable Assets. Please list any high value assets you believe your employer owns (e.g., real estate, specialized equipment, vehicles, copyrights and patents): Additional information should be included on Part III.

Type of Asset and Brief Description	Location
i. ?	

13. Please list any banks you believe your employer uses/has used (e.g., Bank of America, Wells Fargo, Citibank, JP Morgan Chase). You can find these in the company or personal paychecks stubs received. Please attach copies of any paycheck stubs.

Bank Name	Type of Document (e.g. paystub, etc.)
ι. ?	

- 14. Does your employer own or run other businesses (including rental property)? Please provide name, location and type of business: ?,
 - WCA 005- Defendant Profile and Questionnaire (English Version) (rev. Nov. 2020) State Case No. <u>UIC-CM~(003300</u>

Part 3: Additional Information Provided by Claimants and Deputies

15. Please provide any other information related to the owner/business that may assist for this wage claim. Also, if providing additional for one of the questions above, please write the question number related to the information provided. (Attach additional pages if necessary).

attached bee page

WCA 005- Defendant Profile and Questionnaire (English Version) (rev. Nov. 2020) State Case No. WC~CM~ 1003300

Case No. WC-CM- 1003380

	Code Section 98a provides that, y who has received actual notice of a	a claim bet	fore the Labor
Comm	issioner shall, while the matter is bef	ore the La	bor Commissioner, notify the Labor
Comm within	issioner in writing of a any change in 10 days after the change in address	that party occurs."	's business or personal address
Che che discussione	Il Name: Paul Vauchir		
(Su Nor	the second secon		
Your Ti	tle:		
(Su Titu	110) Driver		
Your Ac	ddress:	6 ¥	
(Su Don	nicilio)		
		'	, J,
Mailin	g Address:		
	erent than above)		
	eccion si es diferente)	all - many is should be seen a	
,			
	elephone Number:		
(No. de	e Telefono) ()		
	or Message Number:	2	
(No. de	e Teléfono de Trabajo o Mensaje) 🕚		
	In What Capacity		nearing?
	(En Que Capacity)		
	. In dae oopa		
	Plaintiff:		Defendant:
V	(Demandante)		(Demandado)
	Plaintiff's Attorney:		Defendant's Attorney/Rep:
	(Abogado del Demandante)		(Abogado del Demandado)
	Plaintiff's Witness:		Defendant's Witness:
	(Testigo del Demandante)		(Testigo del Demandado)
	Observer:		Appellant:
	(Observador)		(Apelante)
	Other:		Appellant's Attorney/Rep:
	(Otro)		(Abogado del Apelante)
	BOFE		Appellant's Witness:
			(Testigo del Apelante)
	PLEASE ATTACH BUSI	NESS CARE), IF AVAILABLE
	INTERPRETE	R INFORM	AATION
Nam	ie/Agency:		
Star	t Time:l	End Time	9:
	91577 9169 900 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 3748 987 987 1000 787 1000 787 1000 787 1000 787 1000 787 1000 787 1000 787		
		Sta	ff Initials:
		JLd	II IIIIIais

Case No. WC-CM-1003300	- Circle all that applies -
Name of Entities: Paul Vauclair	Worker's Email address:
Doing Business As name: Paul Vauclair	Day Phone Cell Phone
Sole Proprietor Partnership- General Limited	Rep: Phone
Names of partners:	Job Title and Duties: Driver
Corporation - name of officers/"owners":	
	W2 Employee 1099 Employee Intern Consultant
	Independent Contractor Other:
Limited liability company - name of members:	Handshake/Oral agreement Written agreement Offer Letter
	CBA (union)
Other:	Name/Title of Interviewer:
Email address:	Name/Title of Hiring Person :
Website:	Name/Title of Supervisor(s):
Day Phone Cell Phone	and the second of the second sec
NAICS:(https://www.naics.com/search/)	Last Work Day: Still employed
IWC Wage order:	Discharged (includes Laid Off, Severed, etc.)
Low wage business? No Yes:	by (name and title)
Hire date: 12/12/2016	Final Pay- Full wages Partial wages
First Work Day: 12/12/2016	Received on
1st day of the workweek	No Wages provided
City/County Where Work Performed-	Quit (includes retired)
SanFrancisco Bay Area	Prior Notice of Quit? Yes- notified on (date)
J	by text email in person to:
Worksite- Employer's Customer/client of Employer's	No advance notice
Method of timekeeping: Time Clock Timecard /Sheet	No notice at all (abandoned job)
Text Other On Lyft App No Record	Returned for Wages After Quit?
Wages: Hourly Salary Piece Rate Commission	Yes-Picked up wages on (date)
Average hours worked per day/per week: 70 hours/we	4 (D 100) D 10 (D 100)
\sim	No- Not safe to return Told not to return Unable to
Pay Days: (Daily) Bi-weekly Semi-monthly Monthly Other:	return
Meal period provided- Yes (how long?)minutes	lo, less than 10 minutes No opportunity Minimum wages- he regular rate the law if the worker is unsure
Expenses- Incurred and paid (Incurred and not paid) Not	

Sampang, Christopher@DIR

From:	Arnett, Ashley <aarnett@seyfarth.com></aarnett@seyfarth.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, February 13, 2024 11:21 AM
То:	DIR LaborComm.WCA.SFO
Cc:	Bertoldi, Candace
Subject:	Paul Vauclair v. Lyft - WC-CM-1003300
Attachments:	2024.02.13 Paul Vauclair v. Lyft (DLSE) - Letter to Labor Commissioner's Office.pdf

CAUTION: [External Email]

This email originated from outside of our DIR organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is expected and is safe. If in doubt reach out and check with the sender by phone.

Senior Deputy Barrett,

We represent Lyft in the above-referenced matter and received Paul Vauclair's notice of claim. We note that this is one of 14 cases against Lyft pending in your office over which the Labor Commissioner has no jurisdiction.

Specifically, Mr. Vaulclair's alleged use of the Lyft platform as a driver (01/01/21 to 12/31/21) was after Prop 22 took effect on December 16, 2020. As of December 16, 2020, drivers using Lyft's platform are considered independent contractors by statute and, thus, cannot seek relief under the Labor Code. We kindly request for Mr. Vauclair's claims to be dismissed on this basis.

Mr. Vauclair's claims should be dismissed for the additional reason that the Labor Commissioner previously exercised his right under Labor Code 98(a) to decline to proceed with claims filed against Lyft on or after January 1, 2020. See Exhibit A in the attached letter memorializing Lyft's position.

Please feel free to call or email me to discuss.

-Ashley

Ashley Arnett | Associate | Seyfarth Shaw LLP 601 South Figueroa Street | Suite 3300 | Los Angeles, California 90017-5793 Direct: +1-213-270-9628 | Fax: +1-310-551-8302 AArnett@seyfarth.com | www.seyfarth.com



CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING: This email may contain privileged or confidential information and is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this communication is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system.

Re: Follow up on my claim #WC-CM-1003300

DIR LaborComm.WCA.SFO <LaborComm.WCA.SFO@dir.ca.gov>

Fri 2/23/2024 3:17 PM To:Paul

Bcc:Barrett, Stephanie@DIR <SBarrett@dir.ca.gov> Hi Paul,

Thank you for your email.

Your case remains open - if we need you to provide any information regarding the claim, we will contact you.

Chris Sampang San Francisco WCA

From: Paul Sent: Friday, February 23, 2024 1:52 PM To: DIR LaborComm.WCA.SFO <LaborComm.WCA.SFO@dir.ca.gov> Subject: Follow up on my claim #WC-CM-1003300

CAUTION: [External Email] This email originated from outside of our DIR organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is expected and is safe. If in doubt reach out and check with the sender by phone.

Thank you for your services.

I would like to know if there is anything I still need to do or provide for this claim to go forward?

How can I know if my claim is moving forward?

Thank you.

Paul Vauclair

Sent from my ClearValleys iPhone

Home

Search

Forms

Help

Business

LYFT, INC. (3181802)

Initial Filing Date

Standing - SOS

Standing - FTB

Status

Login

Business Search

UCC

The California Business Search provides access to available information for **corporationsimited liability companies** and **limited partnerships** of record with the California Secretary of State, with **free PDF copies** of over 17 million imaged business entity documents, including the most recent imaged Statements of Information filed for Corporations and Limited Liability Companies.

Currently, information for Limited Liability Partnerships (e.g. law firms, architecture firms, engineering firms, public accountancy firms, and land survey firms), General Partnerships, and other entity types are **not contained** in the California Business Search. If you wish to obtain information about LLPs and GPs, submit a Business Entities Order paper form to request copies of filings for these entity type&lote: This search is not intended to serve as a name reservation search. To reserve an entity name, select Forms on the left panel and select Entity Name Reservation ? Corporation, LLC, LP.

Basic Search

A Basic search can be performed using an entity name or entity number. When conducting a search by an entity number, where applicable, remove "C" from the entity number. Note, a basic searchwill search only ACTIVE entities (Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Limited Partnerships, Cooperatives, Name Reservations, Foreign Name Reservations, Unincorporated Common Interest Developments, and Out of State Associations). The basic search performs a contains ?keyword? search. The Advanced search allows for a ?starts with? filter. To search entities that have a status other than active or to refine search criteria, use the Advanced search feature.

Advanced Search

An Advanced search is required when searching for publicly traded disclosure information or a status other than active.

An Advanced search allows for searching by specific entity types (e.g., Nonprofit Mutual Benefit Corporation) or by entity groups (e.g., All Corporations) as well as searching by ?begins with? specific search criteria.

Disclaimer: Search results are limited to the 500 entities closest matching the entered search criteria. If your desired search result is not found within the 500 entities provided, please refine the search criteria using the Advanced search function for additional results/entities. The California Business Search is updated as documents are approved. The data provided is not a complete or certified record.

Although every attempt has been made to ensure that the information contained in the database is accurate, the Secretary of State's office is not responsible for any loss, consequence, or damage resulting directly or indirectly from reliance on the accuracy, reliability, or timeliness of the information that is provided. All such information is provided "as is." To order certified copies or certificates of status, (1) locate an entity using the search; (2)select Request Certificate in the right-hand detail drawer; and (3) complete your request online.

Lyft, I	Lyft, Inc. Q								
					1	Advanced 🗸			
						Results: 14			
Entity Information	Å V	Initial Filing Date	Status $\frac{A}{V}$	Entity 🗍	Formed 🛔	Agent $_{_{ alpha}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathbb{A}}}$			
GET LYFTED INC. (4608418)	>	06/27/2020	Active	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	JAMES PETERSON			
JOEL LYFTOGT INC. (3348044)	>	01/06/2011	Suspended - FTB	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	JOEL WILLIAM LYFTOGT			
Level Lyft Transit, Inc. (5982110)	>	11/16/2023	Active	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	NORTHWEST A REGISTERED AGENT, INC.			
LYFT BIKES AND SCOOTERS, INC. (4297195)	>	07/12/2019	Terminated	Stock Corporation - Out of State - Stock	DELAWARE	C T CORPORATION SYSTEM			
LYFT CENTER, INC. (4208046)	>	10/31/2018	Active	Stock Corporation - DELAWARE Out of State - Stock		C T CORPORATION SYSTEM			
LYFT ENTERPRISE, INC. (3903161)	>	05/09/2016	Suspended - FTB/SOS	Nonprofit Corporation - CA - Mutual Benefit	CALIFORNIA	WILLIAM DANIEL MAURER			

Standing - Agent Good Standing - VCFCF Good Formed In DELAWARE Stock Corporation - Out of State - Stock Entity Type 185 BERRY ST STE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107 Principal Address 185 BERRY ST STE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA94107 Mailing Address Statement of Info 12/31/2024 Due Date 1505 Corporation C T CORPORATION SYSTEM Agent CA Registered AMANDA GARCIA Corporate (1505) Agent Authorized Employee(s) 330 N BRAND BLVD. GLENDALE, CA GABRIELA SANCHEZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

Request Certificate

Active

Good

Good

12/19/2008

DAISY MONTENEGRO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

BEATRICE CASAREZ-BARRIENTEZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

JESSIE GASTELUM 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

JOHN MONTIJO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

DIANA RUIZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

SARAI MARIN 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

EMANUEL JACOBO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

GLADYS AGUILERA 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

VIVIAN IMPERIAL 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

CARLOS PAZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

ALBERTO DAMONTE 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

PETER CAYETANO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

ELSA MONTANEZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

XENIA PEREZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

YESENIA CARPENTER 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

JAQUELINE MEJIA 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

PTInfo

View Publicly Traded Disclosure Information

Skip to main content State

	Business	UCC						
Home	LYFT FREIGHT GROUP INC (4304880)	> 08/05/2	019 Terminated	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	LIZ MENDEZ	LYFT, INC. (31	81802)
Search	LYFT HEALTHCARE, INC. (4300591)	> 07/12/2	019 Active	Stock Corporation - Out of State - Stock	DELAWARE	C T CORPORATION SYSTEM		Request Certificate
Forms Help	LYFT U MINISTRIES INC (4128485)	> 03/12/2	018 Suspended - FTB/SOS	Nonprofit Corporation - CA - Public Benefit	CALIFORNIA	ANISSA SMITH	Initial Filing Date Status Standing - SOS	12/19/2008 Active Good
	LYFT, INC. (3181802)	> 12/19/2	008 Active	Stock Corporation - Out of State - Stock	DELAWARE	C T CORPORATION SYSTEM	Standing - FTB Standing - Agent Standing - VCFCF Formed In	Good Good DELAWARE
	LYFTED FARMACY, INC (4594537)	> 05/14/2	020 Active	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	ZACHARY DRIVON	Entity Type	Stock Corporation - Out of State - Stock
	LYFTED FARMS, INC. (3942754)	> 09/02/2	016 Active	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	ZACHARY RYAN DRIVON	Principal Address Mailing Address	185 BERRY ST STE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107 185 BERRY ST STE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA94107
	LYFTED INC. (3891029)	> 03/28/2	016 Terminated	Nonprofit Corporation - CA - Mutual Benefit	CALIFORNIA	ARTHUR MORA	Statement of Info Due Date Agent	12/31/2024 1505 Corporation C T CORPORATION SYSTEM
	LYFTLY INC (4606911)	> 06/25/2	020 Active	Stock Corporation - CA - General	CALIFORNIA	SRINIVASAN RAMAN UJAM	CA Registered Corporate (1505) Agent Authorized Employee(s)	AMANDA GARCIA 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA GABRIELA SANCHEZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

PT Info

DAISY MONTENEGRO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA BEATRICE CASAREZ-BARRIENTEZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

JESSIE GASTELUM 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

JOHN MONTIJO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

DIANA RUIZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

SARAI MARIN 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

EMANUEL JACOBO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

GLADYS AGUILERA 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

VIVIAN IMPERIAL 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

CARLOS PAZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

ALBERTO DAMONTE 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

PETER CAYETANO 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

ELSA MONTANEZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

XENIA PEREZ 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

YESENIA CARPENTER 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

JAQUELINE MEJIA 330 N BRAND BLVD, GLENDALE, CA

Defendant Notice of Claim Filed - Paul Vauclair vs. Lyft - CM-1003300

DIR LaborComm.WCA.SFO <LaborComm.WCA.SFO@dir.ca.gov> Fri 1/5/2024 6:24 PM

To:Arnett, Ashley <AArnett@seyfarth.com>;jlieu@lyft.com <jlieu@lyft.com>;ytsai@lyft.com <ytsai@lyft.com> Bcc:Barrett, Stephanie@DIR <SBarrett@dir.ca.gov>

4 attachments (2 MB)

Def Flyers to complete and return.pdf; Flyers for Both Parties.pdf; WCA 013PD, WCA 016A on WC-CM-1003300 - DIRCase WCA 013 (DEF).pdf; WCA 013PD, WCA 016A on WC-CM-1003300 - DIRCase WCA 016A.docx;

Please take note.

Be sure to review and complete all the relevant documents and submit them as soon as is convenient. If you are interested in settling this claim, please let us know as soon as possible and we will relay that information to the plaintiff.

The California Department of Industrial Relations Division of Labor Standards Enforcement (DLSE) adjudicates wage claims, investigates discrimination and public works complaints, and enforces state labor law. To learn more about the functions of the DLSE, visit our website at www.dir.ca.gov/dlse



Division of Labor Standards Enforcement - Home Page State of California www.dir.ca.gov

Plaintiff Notice of Claim Filed - Paul Vauclair vs. Lyft - CM-1003300

DIR LaborComm.WCA.SFO <LaborComm.WCA.SFO@dir.ca.gov>

Fri 1/5/2024 6:24 PM

To Bcc:Barrett, Stephanie@DIR <SBarrett@dir.ca.gov>

3 attachments (2 MB)

Flyers for Both Parties.pdf; Pltf Flyers - Forms to complete and return.pdf; WCA 013PD, WCA 016A on WC-CM-1003300 - DIRCase WCA 013 (PLT).pdf;

Please take note.

Be sure to review and complete all the relevant documents and submit them as soon as is convenient.

The California Department of Industrial Relations Division of Labor Standards Enforcement (DLSE) adjudicates wage claims, investigates discrimination and public works complaints, and enforces state labor law. To learn more about the functions of the DLSE, visit our website at www.dir.ca.gov/dlse



Division of Labor Standards Enforcement - Home Page State of California www.dir.ca.gov

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Department of Industrial Relati Labor Commissioner's Office 455 Golden Gate Ave, 10TH FLC SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 EMAIL: LABORCOMM.WCA.SFO FAX: (209) 812-1948	OR	
PLAINTIFF: Paul Vauclair,	ALTCORT	
DEFENDANT:		I
Lyft, Inc., a Delaware Corporat 185 BERRY STREET, STE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107-172		
State Case Number: WC-CM-1003300	NOTICE OF CLAI	М

A claim has been filed with the State Labor Commissioner by the Plaintiff shown above, alleging nonpayment of:

CLAIM	Amount Earned or Accrued	Less Amount Paid	Balance Due
REGULAR WAGES From 01/01/2021 through 12/31/2021, plaintiff	\$4,095.90	\$0.00	\$4,095.90
claims regular wages earned, as indicated either below or in an attachment. (healthcare stipend)			
LATE PAYROLL: Penalty – Failure by an employer to pay the wages of each employee as provided in Sections 201.3, 204, 204(b), 204.1, 204.2, 204.11, 205.5 and 1197.5, entitles the employee to a penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100) for any initial violation. Two hundred dollars (\$200) for each subsequent violation, or any willful or intentional violation, plus 25 percent of the amount unlawfully withheld.	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$100.00
Plaintiff was not paid timely during the period from 01/01/2021 to			
12/31/2021 and claims 1 at \$100 each for a total of \$100			
TOTAL CLAIMED			\$4,195.90

A document detailing the amount(s) due may be attached. Claim amounts may be approximate and subject to modifications as the claim proceeds. In addition, the employer may be subject to penalties due to the State of California, which may be assessed pursuant to Labor Code Section 210, 225.5 and 226.8.

This notice constitutes demand on behalf of the Plaintiff that all wages due be mailed immediately to the Labor Commissioner at the address listed above. Willful failure to pay when wages are due implicates waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 203.

The employer shall pay all conceded wages due, or parts thereof, without condition. Therefore, any amounts acknowledged due should be paid immediately by mailing this office a check or money order made payable to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff may continue the claim process on any outstanding claims or balances.

Should any of the claim be disputed, submit a written statement of the facts in response to Plaintiff's allegations. If you would like to resolve this claim through a negotiated settlement on disputed claims, please contact our office in writing at the physical address or email listed above and propose an amount to resolve the matter. Please respond immediately or within 30 days from the date below.

If this claim is not settled, it may be resolved as provided by Section 98 of the Labor Code which includes the accrual of interest pursuant to Labor Code Section 98.1(c), 1194.2 and/or 2802(b).

DEFENDANT(S) - PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

With respect to the claims above, the basis for liability may be determined on various grounds, including but not limited to liability pursuant to Labor Code sections 2810.3 and/or 238.5, and/or **individual liability** pursuant to Labor Code section 558.1.

Under Labor Code Section 558.1, any employer or other person acting on behalf of an employer who violates, or causes to be violated, any provision regulating minimum wages or hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, or violates, or causes to be violated, Labor Code Sections 203, 226, 226.7, 1193.6, 1194, or 2802, may be held liable as the employer for such violation. For purposes of Labor Code Section 558.1, the term "other person acting on behalf of an employer" is limited to a natural person who is an owner, director, officer, or managing agent of the employer.

Under Labor Code section 2810.3, a labor contractor(s) and the business entity (a "Client Employer") that was provided with workers by the contractor(s) to perform labor within the Client Employer's usual course of business may be held jointly and severally liable for any wages, damages, and penalties found due to the workers who performed the labor. A contract for the provision of labor between the contractor(s) and the Client Employer is not required for liability to attach under the law.

Under Labor Code Section 238.5(a)(1) "Any individual or business entity, regardless of its form, that, as a part of its business, contracts for services in the property services or long-term care industries shall be jointly and severally liable for any unpaid wages, including interest,... to the extent the amounts are for services performed under that contract." "Property services" industry includes: "janitorial, security guard, valet parking, landscaping, and gardening services." § 238.5(e)(1).

While this claim is before the Labor Commissioner, you are required under Labor Code Section 98(a) to notify the Labor Commissioner *in writing* of any change in your business or personal address within 10 days after any change occurs.

NOTICE DATE: January 5, 2024

Labor Commissioner's Office (415) 703-5300

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Department of Industrial Relations Labor Commissioner's Office 455 Golden Gate Ave, 10TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 EMAIL: LABORCOMM.WCA.SFO@DIR.CA.GOV FAX: (209) 812-1948 PLAINTIFF: Paul Vauclair,				
DEFENDANT: Lyft, Inc., a Delaware Corporation 185 BERRY STREET, STE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107-1725				
State Case Number: WC-CM-1003300	NOTICE OF CLAIM	NOTICE OF CLAIM FILED		

A notice of your claim has been mailed to the above name defendant. Your complaint was described as follows:

CLAIM	Amount Earned or Accrued	Less Amount Paid	Balance Due
REGULAR WAGES From 01/01/2021 through 12/31/2021, plaintiff claims regular wages earned, as indicated either below or in an	\$4,095.90	\$0.00	\$4,095.90
attachment. (healthcare stipend)			
LATE PAYROLL: Penalty – Failure by an employer to pay the wages of each employee as provided in Sections 201.3, 204, 204(b), 204.1, 204.2, 204.11, 205.5 and 1197.5, entitles the employee to a penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100) for any initial violation. Two hundred dollars (\$200) for each subsequent violation, or any willful or intentional violation, plus 25 percent of the amount unlawfully withheld.	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$100.00
Plaintiff was not paid timely during the period from 01/01/2021 to			
12/31/2021 and claims 1 at \$100 each for a total of \$100			
TOTAL CLAIMED			\$4,195.90

A document detailing the amount(s) due may be attached. Claim amounts may be approximate and subject to modifications as the claim proceeds. In addition, the employer may be subject to penalties due to the State of California, which may be assessed pursuant to Labor Code Section 210, 225.5 and 226.8.

This notice constitutes demand on behalf of the Plaintiff that all wages due be mailed immediately to the Labor Commissioner at the address listed above. Willful failure to pay when wages are due implicates waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 203.

The employer shall pay all conceded wages due, or parts thereof, without condition. Therefore, any amounts acknowledged due should be paid immediately by mailing this office a check or

money order made payable to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff may continue the claim process on any outstanding claims or balances.

Should any of the claims be disputed, the Defendant(s) are to submit a written statement of the facts in response to Plaintiff's allegations along with any conceded sums and/or propose to settle the matter on disputed claims. If such reply is submitted, a copy will be forwarded to Plaintiff for a response.

If this claim is not settled, it may be resolved as provided by Section 98 of the Labor Code which includes the accrual of interest pursuant to Labor Code Section 98.1(c), 1194.2 and/or 2802(b).

With respect to the claims above, the basis for liability may be determined on various grounds, including but not limited to liability pursuant to Labor Code sections 2810.3 and/or 238.5, and/or **individual liability** pursuant to Labor Code section 558.1.

Under Labor Code Section 558.1, any employer or other person acting on behalf of an employer who violates, or causes to be violated, any provision regulating minimum wages or hours and days of work in any order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, or violates, or causes to be violated, Labor Code Sections 203, 226, 226.7, 1193.6, 1194, or 2802, may be held liable as the employer for such violation. For purposes of Labor Code Section 558.1, the term "other person acting on behalf of an employer" is limited to a natural person who is an owner, director, officer, or managing agent of the employer.

Under Labor Code section 2810.3, a labor contractor(s) and the business entity (a "Client Employer") that was provided with workers by the contractor(s) to perform labor within the Client Employer's usual course of business may be held jointly and severally liable for any wages, damages, and penalties found due to the workers who performed the labor. A contract for the provision of labor between the contractor(s) and the Client Employer is not required for liability to attach under the law.

Under Labor Code Section 238.5(a)(1) "Any individual or business entity, regardless of its form, that, as a part of its business, contracts for services in the property services or long-term care industries shall be jointly and severally liable for any unpaid wages, including interest, to the extent the amounts are for services performed under that contract." "Property services" industry includes: "janitorial, security guard, valet parking, landscaping, and gardening services." § 238.5(e)(1).

While this claim is before the Labor Commissioner, you are required under Labor Code Section 98(a) to notify the Labor Commissioner *in writing* of any change in your business or personal address within 10 days after any change occurs.

NOTICE DATE: January 5, 2024

Labor Commissioner's Office (415) 703-5300